***CHARLI Funded Projects Application***

***Research Advisory Council Rubric***

Date/Quarter:

Applicant Name:

Co-Applicant Name (if applicable):

Scoring: 3 = Strongly Met; 2 = Met; 1 = Partially/ Somewhat Met; 0 = Not Met

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Category | Standard to be met | Score  |
| Justification | Proposal demonstrates an excellent understanding of the key points of proposed project. | 0 1 2 3 |
| Proposal provides significant detail, including applicant's specific role | 0 1 2 3 |
| strong rationale provided from published literature and/or other sources, and significance of work proposed | 0 1 2 3 |
| *Comments* |  |
| Clarity | Clear description of who will be involved, what will be done, where things will take place, and when things will happen | 0 1 2 3 |
| Assessment | Clear description of how data will be collected and used to demonstrate degree to which outcomes are met | 0 1 2 3 |
| Budget | The budget request reflects a strategic use of funding, taking into account existing Life University resources | 0 1 2 3 |
| Feasibility | Personnel, project activities, timeline, and budget proposed are congruent with the project description and outcomes | 0 1 2 3 |
| Qualifications | Applicant is qualified to carry out the project. | 0 1 2 3 |
| Dissemination | Plan for dissemination of results/findings on a clear, realistic timeline | 0 1 2 3 |
| *Comments* |  |
| Parallel with (or beneficial to) Life University Mission and Applicant's Field of Study | Proposal is strongly parallel with Life University's mission and/or strategic initiatives and has excellent potential to contribute to knowledge base of applicant's field of study.  | 0 1 2 3 |
| *Comments* |  |
| Confidence Level for Project Completion | Proposal inspires total confidence that it will be completed. | 0 1 2 3 |
| *Comments* |  |
| Advisory Council Member Name |  |
| Additional comments |  |
|  |  |
| Only applications receiving a committee average score of **22/33** or greater and deemed consistent with the mission/strategic initiatives of Life University will be eligible for funding consideration, including conditional approval.*The RAC reserves the right to request changes to the proposal. RAC members’ individual rubric evaluation may be subject to change during a 24-hour period following committee discussion.* | **TOTAL SCORE >>** |  |