

CHARLI Funded Projects Application Research Advisory Council Rubric

Date/Quarter:

Applicant Name:

Co-Applicant Name (if applicable):

CATEGORY	Strongly Met (3 Points)	Met (2 Points)	Partially/ Somewhat Met (1 Points)	Not Met (0 Point)	SCORE
Intellectual Merit	Proposal demonstrates an excellent understanding of the key points of proposed project. Proposal provides significant detail including applicant's specific role, evidence from published literature and other sources, and discerning ideas. Applicant is very qualified to complete his/her project.	Proposal demonstrates a good understanding of most of the key points of proposed project. Proposal includes moderate detail, evidence from published literature, and discerning ideas. Applicant is qualified to complete his/her project.	Proposal demonstrates a fair understanding of the concepts and key points of the project topic. Proposal is lacking in detail and specificity and/or may not provide sufficient evidence from the literature. Applicant is somewhat qualified to complete his/her project.	Proposal does not demonstrate understanding of the concepts and key points of the project topic. Proposal is missing detail and specificity and does not provide sufficient evidence from the literature. Applicant is not qualified to complete his/her project.	
Project Design/ Feasibility	Proposal strongly displays a feasible project that is well-organized with obtainable goals. Proposal includes a clear research question, problem, or testable hypothesis, specific aims or objectives to be addressed, and approach/methods. The project includes a very clear plan for dissemination of	Proposal displays a feasible project that is sufficiently organized with obtainable goals. Proposal includes a clear research question, problem, or testable hypothesis, but specific aims or objectives to be addressed and approach/methods are somewhat unclear. The project includes a clear plan	Proposal displays a partially feasible project that is lacking in its organization and/or articulation of obtainable goals. Proposal includes a somewhat unclear research question, problem, or testable hypothesis; specific aims or objectives to be addressed or approach/methods are unclear. The project mentions a plan for dissemination of results/findings and adherence to ethical	Proposal does not display a feasible and appropriate study that is organized with obtainable goals. Proposal fails to identify a clear research question, problem, or testable hypothesis; specific aims or objectives to be addressed, and approach/methods/design process are unclear.	

	results/findings on a clear and feasible timeline and adherence to ethical standards. All necessary resources are available to the applicant.	for dissemination of results/findings and adherence to ethical standards. All necessary resources are available to the applicant.	standards but lacks specific details. The applicant will need to seek necessary resources from external sources.	The project lacks a plan for dissemination of results/findings and adherence to ethical standards. The applicant will need to seek necessary resources from external sources.				
Parallel with (or Beneficial to) Life University Mission and Applicant's Field of Study	Proposal is strongly parallel with Life University's mission and/or strategic initiatives and has excellent potential to contribute to knowledge base of applicant's field of study.	Proposal is sufficiently parallel with Life University's mission and/or strategic initiatives and has sufficient potential to contribute to the knowledge base of applicant's field of study.	Proposal is somewhat parallel with Life University's mission and/or strategic initiatives and has nominal potential to contribute to the knowledge base of applicant's field of study.	Proposal is not parallel with Life University's mission or strategic initiatives and has little to no potential to advance knowledge in the field.				
Cost to Benefit Ratio	Proposal strongly reflects a cost to benefit ratio that meets the proposal objectives while maintaining a realistic budget.	Proposal reflects a cost to benefit ratio that meets the proposal objectives while maintaining a realistic budget.	Proposal reflects a cost to benefit ratio that partially meets the proposal objectives and maintains a somewhat realistic budget.	Proposal does not reflect a cost to benefit ratio that meets the proposal objectives while maintaining a realistic budget.				
Confidence Level for Project Completion	Proposal inspires total confidence that it will be completed.	Proposal inspires much confidence that it will be completed.	Proposal inspires little confidence that it will be completed.	Proposal does not inspire any confidence that it will be completed.				
Advisory Council	Advisory Council Member Name:							
Comments								
Strengths: Weaknesses/Suggested Improvements: OVERALL VOTE (Yes , No, Abstain, or Conditional)								
Any application receiving a score of 11/15 (70%) or less or deemed inconsistent with the mission/strategic initiatives of Life University WILL NOT be eligible for funding.								